

BOX AF



RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR 1.116  
EXPEDITED PROCEDURE  
EXAMINING GROUP 3209

PATENT  
IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

#5B  
5/14/96  
(N.C.)

APPLICANT: JOHNNY P. ELLIS

SERIAL NO.: 08/336,335

FOR: DYNAMIC INFEED CONTROL  
FOR SEGMENTING SWARF IN A  
LATHE APPLICATION

FILED: 11/09/94

GROUP ART 3209

EXAMINER: K. HANSEN

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS CORRESPONDENCE IS BEING DEPOSITED WITH THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE AS FIRST CLASS MAIL IN AN ENVELOPE ADDRESSED TO: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS, WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231 ON THE DATE SHOWN:

Date: 5/16/96

  
FRANK J. CATALANO

RECEIVED

MAY 1 1996

GROUP 3200

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION DATED 3/4/96

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS  
AND TRADEMARKS

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231

DEAR SIR:

In response to the Office Action dated March 4, 1996, please consider the following remarks:

#### REMARKS

The Examiner again rejects claims 1, 2 and 6 under 35 USC, Section 103 as unpatentable over Medeksza in view of AAPA. The Examiner argues that Medeksza teaches means for reciprocating a tool at an oscillatory rate relative to a work piece along